BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO



9500 GILMAN DRIVE LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92093-0348

Instructor IA Evaluation for Vedant Vohra School of Global Policy and Strategy

GPCO 468 - Eval Technological Innovation (McIntosh, Craig)
Winter 2025

Evaluation Responses

1. The Instructional Assistant has a good understanding of the subject matter.

Strongly Agree

2. The Instructional Assistant was well organized and prepared for class.

Strongly Agree

3. The Instructional Assistant was accessible to students outside of class (office hours, e-mail, etc.).

Strongly Agree

4. The Instructional Assistant's explanations were appropriate, being neither too complicated nor too simple.

Strongly Agree

5. The Instructional Assistant consistently arrived at lecture, section/lab, office hours and exams on time.

Strongly Agree

6. The Instructional Assistant graded fairly and accurately, providing constructive feedback to students.

Strongly Agree

7. The Instructional Assistant returned tests and papers in a timely manner.

Agree

8. The Instructional Assistant presented material in an intellectually stimulating way that gave students deeper insight into the material.

Strongly Agree

9. The Instructional Assistant was genuinely interested in and enthusiastic about teaching.

Strongly Agree

10. What is your overall rating of the Instructional Assistant?

Excellent

- 11. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

 Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community
- Vedant did an outstanding job in this class. He is not only incredibly knowledgeable about the subject but was able to boil things down to a level of complexity that was appropriate for our MA students, which is not always easy to do. His code files for the class were excellent as well. Very much enjoyed working with him.
- 12. Sources used to complete this evaluation

Regular meetings/feedback sessions Classroom observation Review of Instructional Assistant grading Informal evaluations by students

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. Click here to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.

BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO



9500 GILMAN DRIVE LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92093-0348

Instructional Assistant Student Evaluation of Teaching for Vedant Vohra School of Global Policy and Strategy

GPCO 468 - Eval Technological Innovation (McIntosh, Craig)
Winter 2025

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 10 Number of Students Enrolled: 22

1. The Instructional Assistant used instructional methods in section and/or office hours that helped me learn and engage with the course material.

8 (80.0%): Strongly Agree

1 (10.0%): Agree

1 (10.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

2. The sections led by this Instructional Assistant were well-coordinated with other components of this class (e.g., lecture, lab, assignments, etc.).

8 (80.0%): Strongly Agree

2 (20.0%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant communicated effectively.

7 (70.0%): Strongly Agree

2 (20.0%): Agree

1 (10.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

4. The Instructional Assistant provided constructive feedback on my work.

7 (70.0%): Strongly Agree

1 (10.0%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

1 (10.0%): Disagree

1 (10.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

5. The Instructional Assistant created a welcoming and supportive learning environment.

8 (80.0%): Strongly Agree

2 (20.0%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

6. The Instructional Assistant generally began and ended section/lab/office hours on time.

8 (80.0%): Strongly Agree

1 (10.0%): Agree

1 (10.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

- 7. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that created or interfered with a welcoming and supportive learning environment.
- Created a welcoming and supportive environment by sharing interesting material with students.
- Friendly smile and fast response time combined with insightful and accurate responses
- 8. Please describe any practices that the Instructional Assistant specifically used that particularly helped you to learn the material and/or encouraged you to feel that you could succeed in the course.
- He is the best TA I have met in UCSD. He makes the complex materials easy to understands, and he has had an enormous contribution to my learning. I cannot be more grateful.

- 9. Please offer constructive suggestions that might help the Instructional Assistant improve their contribution to your learning of the course material.
- I think there should be more office hours and TA session schedules offered. I couldn't assist the TA sessions due to conflicts wiht my schedule. Furthermore, one 15-minute meeting every two weeks to discuss your paper is simply ridiculous. I truly spent weeks where I would change something we discussed, only to have the professor tell me that approach was wrong (for which I had to wait another two weeks to be able to discuss). For a 10 week quarter? simply ridiculous.

10. Is there anything else you would like to share about your experience with this Instructional Assistant?

- He is the best.
- It was clear that he has a passion for this subject and is incredibly knowledgeable.
- Vedant is great. very professional and helpful.
- 11. What was/were your reason(s) for taking this course?

6 (46.2%): Major/Program Requirement

0 (0.0%): Minor

0 (0.0%): College General Education

2 (15.4%): Elective 5 (38.5%): Interest

0 (0.0%): American History and Institutions (AHI) Requirement

0 (0.0%): Undergraduate Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Requirement (DEI)

Requirement

0 (0.0%): Jane Teranes Climate Change Education Requirement (JTCCER)

12. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant IN THE SECTION?

5 (50.0%): Frequently 3 (30.0%): Sometimes 2 (20.0%): Seldom 0 (0.0%): Never

0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

13. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **DURING OFFICE HOURS** ?

7 (70.0%): Frequently 2 (20.0%): Sometimes 0 (0.0%): Seldom 1 (10.0%): Never

0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

14. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant VIA EMAIL?

2 (20.0%): Frequently 5 (50.0%): Sometimes 0 (0.0%): Seldom 2 (20.0%): Never

1 (10.0%): Not Applicable

15. How frequently did you interact with the Instructional Assistant **VIA THE COURSE DISCUSSION BOARD**?

3 (30.0%): Frequently 2 (20.0%): Sometimes 0 (0.0%): Seldom 4 (40.0%): Never

1 (10.0%): Not Applicable

16. How often did you receive feedback from this instructional assistant on assignments/exams/papers?

7 (70.0%): Frequently 3 (30.0%): Sometimes 0 (0.0%): Seldom 0 (0.0%): Never

0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

The data and comments provided in this report are unfiltered and unedited, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, the teaching department, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express their opinion.

Confidential support for reading and interpreting student feedback is available through the Teaching and Learning Commons. Click here to schedule a one-on-one consultation, or email engagedteaching@ucsd.edu.

If you feel that comments constitute harassment or discrimination, then please file a report with the Office for the Prevention of Harassment and Discrimination (OPHD). Because these comments are provided anonymously, it is unlikely that any action can be taken. However, OPHD will record and review these reports in order to assess whether SET comments are a cause for concern.